

**Time Monitoring Tool
Review Record <16-02-01>**

Version <1.0>

Time Monitoring Tool	Version: <1.0>
Review Record <16-02-01>	Date: <16/02/2001>
upedu_ex_rvrec	

Revision History

Date	Version	Description	Author
<16/02/2001>	<1.0>	First Version	Sabrina Laflamme

Time Monitoring Tool	Version: <1.0>
Review Record <16-02-01>	Date: <16/02/2001>
upedu ex rvrec	

Table of Contents

1.	Introduction	4
1.1	Purpose	4
1.2	Scope	4
1.3	Definitions, Acronyms, and Abbreviations	4
1.4	References	4
1.5	Overview	4
2.	Review Record ID convention	5
3.	Review Record Report	6

Time Monitoring Tool	Version: <1.0>
Review Record <16-02-01>	Date: <16/02/2001>
upedu ex rvrec	

Review Record <16-02-01>

1. Introduction

1.1 Purpose

This document captures the results of the review of several Time Monitoring Tool Project artifacts (User Interface Prototype, Use-Case Specifications, Use-Case Realizations, Use-Case Diagrams).

1.2 Scope

This document encloses the detailed information of an inspection review on the artifacts stated above. This review was necessary before the end of Iteration #2.

1.3 Definitions, Acronyms, and Abbreviations

Refer to the Glossary Document. (See References)

1.4 References

Glossary Document, Glossary, TMT Team 1, École Polytechnique de Montréal, 2001

1.5 Overview

This document identifies the naming convention of the review record ID. Further, the review record itself is detailed.

Time Monitoring Tool	Version: <1.0>
Review Record <16-02-01>	Date: <16/02/2001>
upedu_ex_rvrec	

2. Review Record ID convention

The naming convention used for the review records ID is the date the review occurred. Thus, IDs are <DD-MM-YY) of the review.

Time Monitoring Tool	Version: <1.0>
Review Record <16-02-01>	Date: <16/02/2001>
upedu ex rvrec	

3. Review Record Report

Review Record for Time Monitoring Tool		Created on: <16/02/2001>
Identification		
Review Record ID: <16-02-01>	Type: <Artifacts Inspection Review>	
General Information		
Artifacts Reviewed: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ User Interfaces Prototype ▪ Use-Case Specifications ▪ Use-Case Realizations ▪ Use-Case Diagrams 	Objectives of the Review: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ See if these artifacts suit the needs of the project conductors ▪ See if these artifacts follow the right conventions 	
Review Participants		
Participant name	Role during the meeting	
Sabrina Laflamme	Recorder	
Sandra Lee	Moderator	
Robert Latour	Presenter	
James Prevost	Reviewer	
John Lemon	Reviewer	
Review Schedule and Location		
Location: École Polytechnique de Montréal, CISCO Lab.	Review date: <16/02/01>	
	Review time: <13:30>	
Reviewed artifacts Publication Schedule		
User Interface Prototype	Publication date: <17/02/01>	
Use-Case Specifications	Publication date: <17/02/01>	
Use-Case Realizations	Publication date: <17/02/01>	
Use-Case Diagrams	Publication date: <17/02/01>	
Problems identified		
User Interface Prototype:	There is 2 different windows in the DCM for add/modify timestamps. Export buttons are missing in MCM – Project Management. In MCM – Add User, there shouldn't be <i>Default Worker/Task/Activity</i> fields. Also project should be selected from a Select Box, not radio buttons. The word Delete is inappropriate.	
All Others:	<<extend>> arrows are inversed and Netscape Actor is ambiguous	

Time Monitoring Tool	Version: <1.0>
Review Record <16-02-01>	Date: <16/02/2001>
upedu ex rvrec	

Recommendations	
User Interface Prototype:	In the DCM, have only one window for modifying and adding new timestamps. In the MCM – Project Management, add export (Excel and MSProject) buttons. In the MCM – User Management, remove the <i>worker/task/activity</i> fields and replace the <i>Radio Selection</i> for projects with a <i>Select Box</i> . Replace the word “Delete” with “Deactivate”.
All Others:	Inverse the <<extend>> arrows and change the <i>Netscape Actor</i> .
Action Items Status	
User-Interface Prototype:	Raise Work Orders
All Others:	Continue work
Issues for Consideration by the Project Manager	
None	
Follow Up Review	
No other review is necessary for these modifications.	
Record on Effort	
Preparation: 0,5 hour per team member.	
Review Conducting: 0,5 hour	